Semi-supervised Learning of Galaxy Morphology using Equivariant Transformer Variational Autoencoders

Mizu Nishikawa-Toomey, Lewis Smith, Yarin Gal Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford

The Galaxy Zoo dataset

- 250,000 of the brightest galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey were put on a website alongside a tree of questions
- Users logged on to answer questions based on features of the galaxies such as "Smooth, featured or artefact" or "Bar or no bar"
- The data set consists of the total number of responses for each answer to each question, and the corresponding galaxy image.



Why semi-supervised learning

- When new questions are introduced to the data set, we have zero responses to that question.
- Galaxy images continues to grow at a rate that is not possible to be classified by humans. It would take 5 years to collate 40 volunteer responses for each image in the Galaxy Zoo data set at the current response rate.

Variational Autoencoders

- \blacktriangleright VAEs learn the distribution of latent parameters of the image p(z|x), and the generative model p(x|z).
- Classification can be done from the latent representation which eliminates noise from the data and makes training more efficient.



Figure 2:A VAE with a classifier from the latent space

The VAE (green) is trained using the ELBO objective using unlabelled data. (1)

 $\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)}[\log p_{\theta}(z,x)] - \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)}[\log q_{\phi}(z|x)]$

Eliminating redundancy in the data

There is redundancy in the Galaxy Zoo data set, as many galaxies are different transformations of a canonical galaxy image for those particular features.



Figure 3:Same galaxy image, but viewed at different planes

Equivariant Transformer networks

 \blacktriangleright Assuming that each image ϕ is a transformation of the canonical image ϕ^* of that type of galaxy. The transformation T is governed by its pose parameters θ .

 $\phi = (T_{\theta}\phi^*)$

- We want to predict these pose parameters using a function f: $f(\phi) = \theta$
- \blacktriangleright We want the function f to have a property that is called self consistency: $f(T_{\theta'}\phi) = f(\phi) + \theta'$

How do we do this? Each transformation has an associated pose parameter. For each transformation, we have an associated mapping ρ that satisfies:

$$\rho(T_{\theta}x) = \rho(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_i e_k$$
(5)

which transforms from the cartesian coordinates to what we called the canonical coordinates for that image.

- We then apply a pose predictive function on the new coordinate system which is self consistent with respect to translation (such as a CNN).
- ► For example, the canonical coordinate system for the rotation transformation is the polar coordinate system. A rotation of angle θ in cartesian coordinates is a translation by θ in polar coordinates.



Figure 4:ET layer transforms and image and predicts a pose



(3)

(4)

Equivariant Transformer Variational Autoencoder



Figure 5:VAE using ET layers used to run experiments

Results

- weights of the classifier and the encoder.
- classifier wrt the classifier and the encoder.
- labelled data.

Number of labelled images Fully supervised Semi-supervised, alternating steps Semi-supervised 2-step training of

Figure 6:RMSE for semi-supervised and fully-supervised training



Fully-supervised training consisted of the part above the dotted line in Figure 5. The objective function of the classifier was updated wrt

Semi-supervised training consistsed of alternately updating the weights of the VAE wrt the ELBO, and minimising the objective function of the

A third experiment consisted of a two-step procedure of pre-training the VAE with unlabelled data then fine tuning the classifier weights using

	100	300	800	1200
of VAE and classifier VAE and classifier	0.56 0.35 0.37	0.31 0.24 0.28	0.25 0.20 0.25	0.24 0.21 0.25