Motivation • Sampling equilibrium states of many-body systems is one of the grand challenges of statistical physics. Equilibrium densities of such systems with energy U(x) often follow the Boltzmann distribution $\mu_X(x) \propto \exp(-U(x)/\tau)$ where $\tau = k_{\rm B}T$, with the temperature T and the Boltzmann constant k_B . Normalizing flows can be used to sample such densities directly without having to run long, correlated simulation chains [1]. • Normalizing flows transform an easy to sample prior distribution $p_Z(z)$, e.g. a multivariate normal distribution, via a transformation x = f(z) to the output distribution $p_X(x)$ [2,3]. If

f(z) is invertible, $p_X(x)$ can be computed by the change of variable formula

 $p_X(x) = p_Z(z) \left| \det J_f(z) \right|^{-1},$

where $|\det J_f(z)|^{-1}$ is the inverse of the Jacobian.

• Advanced sampling methods, such as parallel tempering, require samplers at different temperatures. This is only possible with multiple instances of flows so far.

Goal

Derive flow f_{τ} , parametrized with the temperature τ , that correctly transforms the parametrized prior distribution $p_{Z}^{\tau}(z)$ to the target Boltzmann distribution $\mu_{\tau}(x)$ at temperature τ .

Temperature scaling condition

A change to temperature τ' of the Boltzmann distribution corresponds to raising it by the power of $\kappa = \tau/\tau'$

$$p_X^{\tau'}(x) \propto [p_X^{\tau}(x)]^{\kappa}.$$

Using Eq. (1) we observe that the temperature scaling is exact, if for any two temperatures au, au'

$$p_Z^{\tau'}(z) \left| \det J_{f_{\tau'}}(z) \right|^{-1} \propto \left[p_Z^{\tau}(z) \left| \det J_{f_{\tau}}(z) \right|^{-1} \right]^{\kappa}$$

The temperature scaling condition is fulfilled by

- 1. selecting a Gaussian prior $p_{Z}^{\tau}(z) = \mathcal{N}(z|\vec{0},\tau)$
- 2. building a flow with $|\det J_{f_{\tau}}(z)|^{\kappa} \propto |\det J_{f_{\tau'}}(z)|$

One possible choice are (modified) Augmented Normalizing Flows [4].

Training

• negative log likelihood (nll), requires samples from the target

 $\mathcal{L}_{ML} = \operatorname{nll} = KL\left(\mu_X(x) || p_X(x)\right) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_X(x)}\left[-\log p_X(x)\right] \quad (3)$

• energy based training, requires energy of the target

 $\mathcal{L}_{KL} = KL\left(p_X(x) || \mu_X(x)\right) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_Z(z)}\left[U(D(z)) - \log\left|\det J_D(z)\right|\right]$ Combine both for training $\mathcal{L} = (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{L}_{ML} + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{KL}$

Temperature-steerable flows

[†]Institute of Mathematics, Freie Universität Berlin, [‡]Department of Chemisty, Rice University, Houston TX *equal contribution

Sampling from the model at temperature τ

- 1. Sample $\vec{z} \sim p_{Z}^{\tau}(\vec{z}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \tau)$
- 2. Sample auxiliary momenta $\vec{q} \sim p_A^{\tau}(\vec{q}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \tau)$, and define the point $\mathbf{Z} - |\mathbf{Z}| - \mathbf{X}$ in phase space $\vec{v} = (\vec{z}, \vec{q})$





-100 -50

4. Project onto the configuration variables \vec{x}

Monte Carlo sampling

- 3. Add a random displacement $\vec{v}' = \vec{v} + \vec{\xi}$ with $\vec{\xi} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
- 4. Transform back to configuration space with the dynamics
- 5. Accept/reject the new sample \vec{x} based on the Metropolis Hastings criterion

System: Alanine dipeptide in implicit solvent model Setting: Comparison between our proposed TSF and Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation.

Training: The TSF is trained with samples at T = 600 K

Ramachandran plots and distributions of the ϕ angle.

We observe good agreement at the training temperature. At T = 300 K the TSF still finds the major minima at around $\phi \approx -2$, but undersamples the minimum at $\phi \approx 1.$

able to recover We are the correct distribution of ϕ when using the TSF as Monte Carlo sampler combined with parallel tempering (TSF-PT).



The samples generated by the TSF closely match the energy distribution compared to MD at the training temperature (T = 600 K). Even at T = 300 K it is able to closely recover the distribution.

Manuel Dibak*[†], Leon Klein*[†], Frank Noé^{†‡}

Experiments: Mixture of multi-dimensional double wells

via a correlation matrix Asystem.



Setting: Comparison between a RNVP flow [5] and our proposed TSF. **Training:** Both models are trained with samples at T = 1. **Evaluation:** The nll (Eq. 3) is evaluated at 100 temperatures in the range T = 0.1 to T = 10 for **B)** 5 and **C)** 20 dimensions. The TSF performs significantly better for temperatures further away from the training temperature T = 1.

- [1] Frank Noé, Simon Olsson, Jonas Köhler, and Hao Wu. Boltzmann generators-sampling equilibrium states of many-body systems with deep learning. *Science* (2019)
- arXiv (2015)
- [3] George Papamakarios, Eric T. Nalisnick, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference. *arXiv* (2019)
- [4] C. Huang, Laurent Dinh, and Aaron C. Courville. Augmented normalizing flows: Bridging the gap between generative flows and latent variable models. *arXiv* (2020)
- using real nvp. *arXiv* (2016)

We gratefully acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB1114, project C03), the European research council (ERC CoG 772230 "ScaleCell"), the Berlin Mathematics center MATH+ (AA1-6), and the German Ministry for Education and Research (BIFOLD - Berlin Institute for the Foundations of Learning and Data). We thank Jonas Köhler, Andreas Krämer and Yaoyi Chen for insightful discussions.



System: Mixture of multi-dimensional double wells which are mixed

Berlin

Energy: $U(x) = U_{dw}(Ax)$ with $U_{dw}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}x_{i} + bx_{i}^{2} + cx_{i}^{4}$

A) Marginal density of the first 5 coordinates of the 20 dimensional

References

[2] D. J. Rezende and S. Mohamed. Variational inference with normalizing flows.

[5] Laurent Dinh, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, and Samy Bengio. Density estimation

Acknowledgments